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so I'm in the Tate and I'm looking at a Hockney. And oh sweet Jesus there is something about that blue that touches me deep inside, makes me want to step outside. I'm sweating; I'm sweating. I begin to palpitate. I can't help myself. I just can't help myself... Modern Art makes me want to rock out... Art Brut

In the process and product of formal investigation, not a lot means something specific. I can talk about formalism as an artistic practice that gestures towards meaning. It is a practice that is more interested in ambiguity than acting as a vehicle for clearly translating specific meaning or functioning as a pedagogical model to tender understanding. The formal signs of an abstract painting, sculpture, video or film do not engage as solid signs. A random shape, repeating pattern or soundless rhythms do not build obvious relationships between work and viewer or viewer and viewer. The works in Gasoline Rainbows do not unravel like a novel or come together like a puzzle. They are not a problem to be solved or looked up like a definition. Their meaning occurs as experience. We relate to them in a manner that reflects the sensory way we encounter the world.

In their song *Modern Art*, Art Brut comically articulate an incomprehensible experience of looking at art by describing the physical effects one color can have on the body. They mock a romantic notion of viewership that links looking with feeling and further equate this feeling with the experience of listening to music. The power of music is something most of us can relate to. Its physical effects can't be ignored. It literally moves us, makes us move. Art Brut uses a particular way of listening and experiencing music – rocking out – to aptly reflect this Romantic notion of looking. It is an isolating action and individual experience that is distinct from dancing in sync with a prescriptive rhythm and in semi-unison with others on a packed dance floor. Rocking out implies letting go, allowing a response that is unscripted and free-form. This is reminiscent of traditional (and overwrought) approaches to viewing art particularly associated with viewing formalist and abstract work. “How does it make you feel” is an exemplary question, one that has no wrong answer. You can’t miss a beat when you’re rocking out. Can you experience an abstract artwork incorrectly? In understanding the effects of form subjective interpretation is privileged over shared experiences. There is little attempt to formulate where viewers might enter the work collectively.

This exhibition brings together work by six multidisciplinary artists who take abstraction to the edge of representation. Eli Bornowsky, Geoffrey Farmer, Eli Langer, Derek Sullivan, Kika Thorne and Holly Ward question the use of abstraction through their own formal explorations. Many of the works directly refer to and, as such, represent the modernist paradigm in relation to the visual arts. In some ways the works are like caricatures of Modernism, simplifying and exaggerating its familiar aesthetic canon, typified by artists working in the mid-twentieth century, as if stuck in the move away from representation. This reappraisal of formal concerns is a turn toward the processes of abstraction and onto a plane of surfaces linked to this paradigm through visual and conceptual references. It follows a return to the studio in order to focus on formal and material relationships, which attempt to address the intangible and unstable relations between artist, viewer and object.

My curiosity leads me to look for something common to the artists’ approaches, and to articulate the particularities of each formal and material process. This investigation into form for form’s sake is not to set up Modernism as a foil, but to illuminate the specific qualities of aesthetic exploration, using form to shape ideas. Vivid colours, bold forms, elaborate compositions become tangible objects that evoke intangible ideas, materializing abstract thought through abstract forms. There is intention. With varying deliberateness each of the artists allude to something. Their intentions are not left to the illusions of splintered subjectivities, but are rendered on the surface as a shared opening.

For the works in Gasoline Rainbows it happens on the surface, which becomes a charged point of entry or more accurately a site of multiple access points. The artists in the exhibition use formal elements not to appeal to the individuality of subjects, but to establish a common ground. Kika Thorne’s *Pink Black Hole*, 2006 clearly sets up such a ground. The two pink and black suspended spandex planes held in tension give form to an abstract idea, the idea of the black hole. She responds aesthetically to an idea of something that is speculative but is believed to exist, only in an imagined form. The black hole is a theoretical description of a total gravitational collapse of a giant star or a group of stars. The centre is thought to contain so much matter in such a small space that light cannot even move through it or escape from it. The density is known as a “singularity” and it is this imagined space where Thorne situates her scheme. The form is very simple; using the basic physics of a parabola, Thorne draws
For Umwelt Compositions and Comparisons (deferring reception), Geoffrey Farmer uses language as a primary formal element, but in this piece sounds, tones and rhythms begin a conversation that articulates an abstract quality in relation to an overall composition. Farmer has displaced the gallery reception, moving the desk into the reading room and replacing the publication shelves with a mirror of the same proportion. In its new position, the desk is reflected in the mirror so it still visually occupies its original position on entering the gallery. In relation to the mirror and in place of the desk, Farmer has positioned a bench on which he has composed a musical interpretation of events, objects and activities that occurred over the 6 hours he observed the space. Mixing synthetic sounds with sounds recorded on site, Farmer has made nineteen compositions over the course of the day, titling them individually: 12:00 noon to 12:15pm; Fabric in tree, 165 die, partially obstructed by a cement truck for 30 seconds. The compositions not only refer to what he is experiencing at that moment but as well to more abstracted information that he has received from other sources throughout the day. These compositions are played in the space, in sync to his day (Monday, January 22, 2007) for the duration that the gallery is opened to the public. His title refers to Thomas Sebeok’s use of the term “umwelt”, describing how semiosis takes place in a significant environment. The umwelt is the part of the environment that an organism “chooses” to inhabit and is its perceptual or subjective universe that is constantly being interpreted. Here Farmer’s gestures and interpretations of the specificity of time and place become the things that are abstracted and how he combines them in comparison to the projected viewer experience becomes the abstraction.

What are implied actions in Farmer’s piece are physically rendered in the work of Eli Langer. In his two oil paintings, Visible Painting and Who wants to live forever? Not me! Langer empties the surface of the canvas, painting in a way that is similar to Farmer’s emptying of the gallery’s foyer. But here it is as if Langer is erasing his marks instead of applying new ones. The marks are made in a manner that feels like taking a step backwards instead of forward, as if he is trying to avoid his own painting, the act of painting, any reason for painting. He even removes colour, reducing his palette to grey scale. It is as if he hates painting. But still the lines are there, the paint is applied and he paints. For Langer the work is in the making. This also comes across in his wall sculpture 5 Lines, five painted wood strips arranged into a
geometric form, a sculpture that plainly illustrates its title. It is a prop where the seams can be seen, where the lines are cut and joined according to the angle of their cuts, regardless if they are irregular. The seams need to be shown because that is where integrity breaks down and intention begins. It is the jarring moment where the collective experience and the subjective position flip. The artist’s intention is visible there, but the follow-through seems missing. The subject is a disruption that transforms the object from a compelling surface into something that is open to change. The original idea is altered into something other—into another shape, line or texture.

The assumption of a surface’s capacity to mutate with every obvious mark of the maker is clear in Eli Bornowsky’s acrylic paintings. In these works each choice Bornowsky makes seems so laboured and self-evident. The artist makes frequent shifts in colour that use a seemingly endless palette and rigid geometric compositions that make sharp and distinct turns. Each new move is the measure of his decision, which sets up a boundary between intention and indeterminacy. The works break into parts as the viewer gets closer to them, and the closer one gets the more obvious is the artist’s intention. But the paintings are more than their visible parts and even with all this marked calculation they have an indefinite and spontaneous quality. There is the overall physicality to each painting where this indeterminacy hovers on a unified surface. In a similar way to Farmer’s use of duration, Bornowsky employs distance to make or break the surface. For Bornowsky, the distance indicates the boundary between intention and indeterminacy without functioning as a rigid line. Distance determines the surface where the collective point of entry begins and also creates an unstable line where that point gets smaller and smaller.

Derek Sullivan marks this precarious line by opposing everyday signs culled from graphic design, and fashion styles with art historical references from the late 1960s to the early 1970s, mainly quoting from the High Modernist period into Minimalism. The specificity of the reference is undermined by the graphic use of common forms, rendering seemingly familiar abstract compositions in pencil crayon or using gingham as the abstract patterns. Sullivan’s use of historical references is not direct and he intentionally creates confusion by misquoting the original sources. The six drawings in Gasoline Rainbows are from Standing on the Shoulder of Giants, a series of large scale drawings. The title of the series comes from the title of the UK band Oasis’s fourth album, which was an unintended misquote of Sir Isaac Newton, which correctly reads “…standing on shoulders of giants.” The band’s mistake reflects the way the viewer might look at Sullivan’s drawings. It is not that he intends to dupe his audience into thinking they are looking at something they are not, but he uses this tactic to directly address the different ways with which these modes (high art versus pop culture) resonate – which one carries more aesthetic impact, lingers longer in the cultural and subjective memory and how each might differently affect the experience of viewing. For example, in looking at these works one might shift between an intellectual and sensory mode of viewing or one way of looking might be privileged over the other. It is a quasi-experiment, a testing of different aesthetic genres and hierarchies, which is also played out in Amnesiacs, a series of sculptures modeled after Brancusi sculptures. The sculpture is just a base for which Sullivan layers additional material from coloured paper to printed matter until the shape underneath starts to lose its form. Both the drawings and the sculptures work to eradicate these hierarchical distinctions and genuinely question aesthetic practice. What value does it have? How does it function? How do we read it? How do we decipher meaning? And what is its impact?

In The Politics of Aesthetics, contemporary French philosopher Jacques Rancière draws a line between aesthetic and political practices in order to discuss the manner in which they intersect. For him, “artistic practices are ‘ways of doing and making’ that intervene in the general distribution of ways of doing and making as well as in the relations they maintain to modes of being and forms of visibility.” This relationship to aesthetic production is a distinction that sets artistic practices apart from other modes of understanding. It establishes a means of engaging in society in general and more specifically, a means to function as a political being.

Rancière uses the classic notion of Modernity as a paradigm to establish a distinction specific to artistic practices, but also to show how it operates as a shared and common mode of entry. He celebrates Modernism for its attempt to define what it did — what is particular to aesthetic practice — and chastises it for separating itself from all other ways of being and for not understanding its power. This power, the power of form, is that its meaning becomes foreign to itself and that it has “the intention of the unintentional.” Simply stated,
“The aesthetic regime of the arts is the regime that strictly identifies art in the singular and frees it from any specific rule, from an hierarchy of the arts, subject matter, and genres.” 5 The regime or realm Rancière is describing is a place where artistic practice enters into the realm of the political, in part as “evidence of democratic equality.” 6

What is particular to the way in which the artists in Gasoline Rainbows place an emphasis on aesthetic form is the attempt to create an expanded and common point of entry, drawing viewers and response without relying on familiar imagery embedded into already established hierarchies of looking that contain traceable meanings. The abstract and undeniable articulation of material becomes a democratic invitation for engagement. The point of entry is preferential, privileging a desire for the work to be experienced over the delivery of a concrete message. In each work in this exhibition the relationship between material and content is established through formal considerations while remaining somewhat indiscernible. It is not important for meaning and form to come so directly together and maybe it is more essential that they hover outside of the normative hierarchies of understanding. The purpose of the formal play is to remind us of this indeterminacy that no artwork will retain its original integrity or its intended meaning. This is not a cynical approach, but more of an understanding of the power of appearance that invisible meanings translate into formal substance, which in turn materialize into specific and meaningful experiences.

Jenifer Papararo


2 Uwelt Compositions and Comparisons musical arrangements composed live and played back in the same place and time of their origin, recorded on January 22, 2007 in the reception area of the Contemporary Art Gallery: 12:00-12:20pm: Fabris Wet, Hanging, Desperate, then Partially Obstructed by a Cement Truck; 12:20-12:30pm: You with the Sad Eyes Walking to my Drums (A White Dog, No Umbrella, Wet Jeans); 12:30-12:45pm: If it Were Possible to Express the Feeling of: Transporting Concert Slabs to Drone Music; 12:45-1:00pm: For Nobody Knows Themselves If They are Only Themselves And Not Another at the Same Time: 1:00-1:10pm: Seriously Engaged in Oblique Strategies: 1:10-1:30pm: Searching, Paintings, Passwords: 1:30-1:45pm: Now; 1:45-2:00pm: A Woman Black Shoes Invade the Street at a Few Minutes to Two: 2:00-2:05pm: India’s Space Capsule Recovery Experiment Returns to Earth; 2:05-2:15pm: Being Here Now But Thinking of Then and When; 2:15-2:30pm: Woman pulls up track pants while talking on phone. (Possible Ringtones); 2:30-3:00pm: A Song for a Woman, With a Single Rose, Who Wipes Rain Off Her Glasses; 3:00-3:15pm: Considering Disembodied Voice of the Philosopher, Holly Ward’s Work Reflected Off the Windows, then Reflected Again Off of the Mirror; 3:17-3:30pm: Another Truck Load of Dirt Taken Away, Until No Dirt Is Left? 3:30-3:45pm: Art of Noises; 3:45-4:00pm: Untitled; 4:00-4:15pm: The Cruel City, 4:15-4:30pm: Your Day Against my Day, 4:30-4:45pm: The World Situation that I Can’t See, while Rush Hour Begins Again. 4:45-5:00pm: In the End the Beginning Might Look Something Like This, (from Non-Musical Sources); 5:00-6:00pm: Silence.


5 Ibid, p23.
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